Senior-Level (SL) and Appraisal Pe	for	, ,	oraisal Syste	m Performance Pla	n	
Part 1. Consultation. I have reviewe		ve been consulted o	n its develop	ment.		
Senior Professional's Name (Last, Firs	st, MI):					
,						
T'.1					rm□ Temp□	
Title:	_	Supervisory	O	organization:		
Senior Professional's Signature:	L	□Non-supervisory	D	Pate:		
<u> </u>	D :: OCC : 12	G: 4				
Rating Official's Name:	Rating Official'	s Signature:	D	Pate:		
Reviewing Official's Name (Optional):	Reviewing Office (Optional):	cial's Signature	D	Pate:		
<b>Part 2. Progress Review.</b> I have part the performance plan.	icipated in a conver	rsation regarding p	progress towa	ard meeting the critic	al elements in	
Senior Professional's Signature:			D	Date:		
Rating Official's Name:	Rating Official'	s Signature:	D	ate:		
Part 3. Rating of Record. The rating reviews and signatures.	g of record is final w	when it is issued to d	a Senior Proj	fessional with all app	propriate	
Rating Official's Recommendation	□Level 5	□Level 4	□Level :	3 □Level 2	□Level 1	
Rating Official's Name:	Rating Official'	s Signature:	1	Date:		
Reviewing Official's Recommendation (Optional):	□Level 5	□Level 4	□Level :	3 □Level 2	□Level 1	
Reviewing Official's Name (Optional):	Reviewing Offic	cial's Signature (Op	otional):	Date:		
Senior Professional's Signature:		Higher-Leve		Date:		
Higher-Level Review Recommendation <i>(Optional):</i>	□Level 5	□Level 4	□Level 3	3 □Level 2	□Level 1	
Higher-Level Reviewer Name (Optional):	Higher-Level R	eviewer Signature (	(Optional):	Date:		
Senior Professional Review Panel (SPRP) Recommendation	□Level 5	□Level 4	□Level :	3 □Level 2	□Level 1	
SPRP Chair Name:	SPRP Chair Sig	nature:		Date:		
Rating of Record	☐ Level 5 Outstanding	Level 4 Exceeds Fully Successful	□Level Ful Successf	ly Minimally ful Satisfactory	□Level 1 Unacceptable	
Approving Official's Name:	Approving Offic	cial's Signature:		Date:		

- Part 4. Performance Standards for Critical Elements. Performance toward Critical Elements 1-3 (Project/Program Management, Interpersonal Leadership/Responsibilities, and Leading Innovation) shall be appraised using the performance standards specified below. Within Critical Element 4 (Business Results), the performance objectives must include quality indicators at the Fully Successful Level that reflect the same level of performance as the Level 3 performance standard below. Within Critical Element 5 (Position Specific), if the performance objective is a competency, it is rated using the performance standards described below. If the performance objective is a result, commitment, or activity, it must include quality indicators that reflect the same level of performance as the Level 3 performance standard below. Examples for the top three performance levels can be found in the program description.
  - Level 5: The Senior Professional demonstrates exceptional performance, directly contributes toward sustaining organizational excellence, and enhances the ability to achieve results in the Senior Professional's organization, agency, department, or Governmentwide. This level represents the highest level of Senior Professional performance, as evidenced by the extraordinary impact on the achievement of the organization's mission. The Senior Professional continually contributes materially to or spearheads agency efforts that address or accomplish important agency goals. The Senior Professional consistently exceeds expectations at the highest level of quality possible, and consistently handles challenges, exceeds targets, and completes high-quality assignments ahead of schedule.
  - Level 4: The Senior Professional demonstrates a very high level of performance beyond that required for successful performance in the Senior Professional's position. The Senior Professional often exceeds established performance expectations, timelines, or targets, as applicable. The Senior Professional is consistently highly effective and delivers high-quality results.
  - Level 3: The Senior Professional demonstrates the high level of performance expected of Senior Professionals and the Senior Professional's actions contribute positively toward the achievement of project/program goals and meaningful results. The Senior Professional is effective, dependable and delivers project/program results based on indicators of quality, or measures of quantity, efficiency, and/or effectiveness within agreed upon timelines. The Senior Professional meets and occasionally exceeds challenging performance expectations established for the position.
  - Level 2: The Senior Professional's contributions to the organization are acceptable in the short term, but do not appreciably advance the project/program or organization toward achievement of its goals and objectives. While the Senior Professional generally meets established performance expectations, timelines and targets, there are occasional lapses that impair operations and/or cause concern from management. While showing basic ability to accomplish assigned project/program(s), the Senior Professional may demonstrate limited ability to address problems characteristic of the project/program or organization and its work.
  - Level 1: In repeated instances, the Senior Professional demonstrates performance deficiencies that detract from project/program goals and objectives or the agency mission. The Senior Professional generally is viewed as ineffectual by agency leadership or peers. The Senior Professional does not meet established performance expectations/timelines/targets and fails to produce or produces unacceptable work products, services, or outcomes.

Part 5. Deriving the Rating of Record. The derivation formula to calculate the Rating of Record is below.								
Critical Element Point Values	Level 5 = 5 points	Lev	Level 4 = 4 points Level 3 = 3		bints Level $2 = 2 p$		oints	Level 1 = 0 points
Critical Element			Critical Elemen	t Element	Ele	ment Score	Ra	ating of Record
			Point Value	Weight			-	Point Ranges
1. Project/Program N	Management							
2. Interpersonal							5-500 = Level  5	
Leadership/Responsibilities						-	0-474 = Level  4	
3. Leading Innovation							0-399 = Level  3	
4. Business Results							0-299 = Level  2	
5. Position Specific	(Ontional)							itical Element rated
•	(Optioliai)			100			Le	vel 1 = Level 1
Total				100 points				

**Project/Program Management** 

Part 6. Critical Elements. Each Senior Professional performance plan shall include Critical Elements 1-4; Critical Element 5 is optional for agency use. Weight: Critical Element 1: Project/Program Management points Individual Competencies (Check all that apply) Competency Individual Review and select the competencies below that contribute to the SL/ST's Weight, if Competency performance toward work assignments or responsibilities. Check all that apply; a applicable (total Rating, if minimum of one competency must be selected. must equal applicable 100 points) □ Decision Making – Makes sound, well-informed, and objective decisions; perceives the impact and implications of decisions; commits to appropriate action, even in uncertain situations, to accomplish work assignments and applicable organizational goals. ☐ Financial Management – Understands the organization's financial processes. Prepares, justifies, and administers the project/program budget. Oversees procurement and contracting to achieve desired results. Monitors expenditures and uses cost-benefit thinking to set priorities. ☐ Information Management – Identifies a need for and knows where or how to gather information; organizes and maintains information on information management systems; retrieves and applies information appropriately in various situations. Legal, Government and Jurisprudence – Knowledge of applicable laws, legal codes, court procedures, precedents, legal practices or documents, government regulations, executive orders, agency rules, government organization or functions, and/or the democratic political process as they apply to area of responsibility. Planning and Evaluating – Organizes work, sets priorities, and determines resource requirements; determines short- or long-term goals and strategies to achieve them; coordinates with other organizations or parts of the organization to accomplish goals; monitors progress and evaluates outcomes. ☐ Problem Solving – Identifies problems; determines accuracy and relevance of information; uses sound judgment to generate and evaluate alternatives, and to make recommendations. Project Management – Applies principles, methods, or tools for developing, scheduling, coordinating, monitoring, evaluating, and managing projects and resources, including technical performance. Reasoning – Identifies rules, principles, or relationships that explain facts, data, or other information; analyzes information and makes correct inferences or draws accurate conclusions. Research – Applies knowledge of the scientific principles, methods, and processes used to conduct a systematic and objective inquiry; including study design, collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; and the reporting of results. ☐ Technical Competence/Subject Matter Expertise – Uses knowledge that is acquired through formal training or extensive on-the-job experience to perform one's job; works with, understands, and evaluates technical information related to the job; advises others on technical issues. **Rating Official Narrative (Optional): Critical Element Rating -**□Level 5 □Level 4 □Level 3 Level 2 □Level 1

Critical Element 2: Interpersonal Leader	ship/Responsibi	lities		Weight:	points
Competencies (Chec Review and select the competencies below toward work assignments or responsibility competency must be selected. For agencial appraisal system, the Customer S	num of one erformance	Individual Competency Weight, if applicable (total must equal 100 points)	Individual Competency Rating, if applicable		
□Collaboration/Partnership – Encourages commitment; works with others to achieve g		peration and trust	t; fosters		
☐Conflict Management – Anticipates and confrontations. Manages and resolves conflic					
Customer Service – Engages with custor the services or products the work unit product who work in the agency, other agencies, or coinput (assess their needs, obtain information) expectations. Uses customer input to inform	ces, including the g rganizations outsion, resolve their prob	general public, ind de the Governmen lems, or satisfy th	dividuals nt) to seek		
☐Influencing/Negotiating – Persuades oth change their behavior, works with others tow acceptable solutions.	ers to accept recon	nmendations, coo	-		
☐ Leadership – Influences, motivates, and c variety of situations. Accepts leadership role that sets a positive example.	-				
☐ Mentorship – Provides guidance, directio standalone program, part of a training and de individually. Establishes mentoring relation	~				
☐ Political Savvy – Identifies the internal and Senior Professional or the organization. Perdacts accordingly.					
Rating Official Narrative (Optional):  Critical Element Rating –					
Interpersonal Leadership/Responsibilities	□Level 5	□Level 4	□Level 3	□Level 2	□Level 1

Critical Element 3: Leading Innovation	1			Weight:	points		
Competencies (Check all that apply) Review and select the competencies below that contribute to the SL/ST's performance toward work assignments or responsibilities. Check all that apply; a minimum of one competency must be selected.				Individual Competency Weight, if applicable (total must equal 100points)	Individual Competency Rating, if applicable		
☐ Creative Thinking – Uses imagination innovative solutions to problems; designs no procedures are inapplicable or are unavailable.							
☐Flexibility/Adaptability – Is open to chawork methods in response to new informat obstacles; effectively deals with ambiguity.	ion, changing cond	-					
its social, political, and technological syster	Organizational Awareness – Knows the organization's mission and functions, and how its social, political, and technological systems work and operates effectively within them; this includes the programs, policies, procedures, rules, and regulations of the organization.						
Strategic Thinking – Formulates effective strategies consistent with the business and competitive strategy of the organization in a global environment; examines policy issues and strategic planning with a long-term perspective; determines objectives and sets priorities; anticipates potential threats or opportunities.							
Vision – Takes a long-term view and bui		with others; acts	as a catalyst				
for change. Influences others to translate v Rating Official Narrative (Optional):	ision into action.						
Critical Element Rating –Leading Innovation	□Level 5	□Level 4	□Level 3	□Level 2	□Level 1		

Critical Element 4:	: Business Results				Weight:	points
Professional durin that are aligned to policy objectives. include in this eler performance at the quality indicators, may be included to recommended to a measures for Leve element must cont identified so that i what is expected for	ent includes specific perfect the appraisal period, forganizational or agence At a minimum, Senior ment results and their que Fully Successful level applicable measures of the appropriation of the Each performation results and quality in the tracking exactly evident on worsuccess. Activities the lactivity.	y goals and object Professionals and pality indicators de for each result spectuantity, timelines te level of accompold quality indicators nance objective of indicators that are of that the Senior Profest lead to the special	rable outputs an cives, and/or protheir Rating Off escribing the ran ecified. In additions, and/or costeplishment expect ors and/or other the Business Reclearly and differ offessional will be a field result may be	d outcomes gram and icials will ge of ion to the ffectiveness ed. It is applicable esults critical rentially e rated and be included.	Weight, if applicable (total	Individual Objective Rating, if applicable
Agency/Program Goal Alignment:	Business Results Obje	ctive 1:				
Agency/Program Goal Alignment:	Business Results Obje	ctive 2:				
Agency/Program Goal Alignment:	Business Results Obje	ctive 3:				
Agency/Program Goal Alignment:	<b>Business Results Obje</b>	ctive 4:				
Agency/Program Goal Alignment:	Business Results Obje	ctive 5:				
Rating Official Nai	rative (Optional):					
Critical Element R Results	ating – Business	□Level 5	□Level 4	□Level 3	□Level 2	□Level 1

Critical Element 5: Position Specifi	<b>c</b> (Optional)			Weight:	points
The Position Specific critical element objectives - written as competencies activities - that are not already account elements. For example, additional reagency administrative goals rather that This means Rating Officials may not contained within another critical elements. Senior Professional will be rated else but rather available for agency specific Official/Senior Professional determine certification of their performance apart a performance objective that holds Semployee perspective, aligning suborgoals and thoroughly appraising employee.	or specific measure nted for in the presents that support an mission goals minclude competent ment in the performance. The use offic or position specific or position specific are important to praisal system, the supervisory Senior rdinate performance ployee performance.	rable results/competer vious mandatory selected competer hay be included in cies or business remance plan (i.e., unif this element is recific aspects of the to assess. For against critical element of the professionals aconce plans with organical elements.	critical ncies or address this element. esults that are upon which the not mandatory, e job the Rating encies seeking t must include ecountable for	Individual Objective Weight, if applicable(total mustequal 100 points)	Individual Objective Rating, if applicable
Position Specific Performance Obje	ctive 1:				
Position Specific Performance Obje	ctive 2:				
Position Specific Performance Obje	ctive 3:				
Position Specific Performance Obje	ctive 4:				
Position Specific Performance Obje	ctive 5:				
Rating Official Narrative (Optional)					
Critical Element Rating –Position Specific	□Level 5	□Level 4	□Level 3	□Level 2	□Level 1

Senior Professional Name and/or ID:	Appraisal Period:
Part 7: Rating Official's Progress Review Narrative (Optional)	
Part 8: Senior Professional's Accomplishment Narrative (Optional)	
Part 9: Rating Official's Recommended Rating of Record Narrative (Ma	andatory)
Part 10: Reviewing Official's Recommended Rating of Record Narrativ	e (Optional)
Part 11. Higher-Level Review Narrative (Optional)	
Part 12: Senior Professional Review Panel (SPRP) Narrative (Optional)	
Part 13: Approving Official Narrative (Optional)	
Part 14: Agency Use (Optional)	

## Senior-Level (SL) and Scientific or Professional (ST) Appraisal Program Performance Plan Addendum for Multiple Progress Reviews for

## **Appraisal Period:**

Multiple Progress Reviews. Each Senior Professional must receive at least one progress review documented on the performance plan. However, agencies may require more frequent progress reviews. Agencies may use this addendum to the SL/ST performance plan to document more frequent formal progress reviews during the appraisal period. The requirement for more frequent progress reviews must also be included in Part VIII of the agency's SL/ST program.

<b>Progress Review.</b> I have partici performance plan.	ipated in a conversation regarding progress t	oward meeting the critical elements in t	he		
Senior Professional's Signature:		Date:			
Rating Official's Name:	Rating Official's Signature:	Date:			
Rating Official's Progress Revi	iew Narrative (Optional)				
<b>Progress Review.</b> I have partici performance plan.	ipated in a conversation regarding progress t	oward meeting the critical elements in t	he		
Senior Professional's Signature:		Date:			
Rating Official's Name:	Rating Official's Signature: Date:				
<b>Progress Review.</b> I have partici performance plan.	ipated in a conversation regarding progress t	oward meeting the critical elements in t	he		
Senior Professional's Signature:		Date:			
Rating Official's Name:	Rating Official's Signature:	Date:			
Rating Official's Progress Revi	iew Narrative (Optional)				