| Senior Pr | rofessional App SL and ST Pe | praisal System a erformance Pla | _ | | | |
|--|--|------------------------------------|----------------|------------|---------------|--|
| Part 1. Consultation. I have reviewed this pl | an and have be | en consulted on | its developmer | nt. | | |
| Senior Professional's Name (Last, First, MI): | | | | Appraisal | Pd. | |
| □SL □ST | | | | | □ Temp□ Term□ | |
| Title: | | | | Organizati | ion: | |
| Senior Professional's Signature: | | | | Date: | | |
| Rating Official's Name: | Rating Official | al's Signature: | | Date: | | |
| Reviewing Official's Name (Optional): | | | | Date: | | |
| Part 2. Quarterly Progress Review | - | | | | | |
| Senior Professional's Signature: | | | | Q1 Date: | | |
| Rating Official's Name: | Rating Officia | al's Signature: | | Q1 Date: | | |
| Senior Professional's Signature: | | | | Q2 Date: | | |
| Rating Official's Name: Rating Official's Signature: | | | | Q2 Date: | Q2 Date: | |
| Senior Professional's Signature: | | | | | Q3 Date: | |
| ating Official's Name: Rating Official's Signature: | | | | Q3 Date: | Q3 Date: | |
| Senior Professional's Signature: | | | | Q4 Date: | | |
| Rating Official's Name: | Rating Officia | ıl's Signature: | | Q4 Date: | | |
| Part 3. Rating of Record | | | | | | |
| Rating Official's Recommended Rating | ☐ Level 5 | ☐ Level 4 | ☐ Level 3 | ☐ Level 2 | ☐ Level 1 | |
| Rating Official's Name: | Rating Official | al's Signature: | • | Date: | | |
| Reviewing Official's Recommendation (Optional): | ☐ Level 5 | ☐ Level 4 | ☐ Level 3 | ☐ Level 2 | ☐ Level 1 | |
| Reviewing Official's Name (Optional): | Reviewing Ot (Optional): | fficial's Signatu | re | Date: | | |
| Senior Professional's Signature: | ☐ Higher-Level Review Requested (Optional) | | | Date: | | |
| Higher-Level Review Recommendation (Optional): | ☐ Level 5 | ☐ Level 4 | ☐ Level 3 | ☐ Level 2 | ☐ Level 1 | |
| Higher-Level Reviewer Name (Optional): | Higher-Level (Optional): | Reviewer Sign | ature | Date: | | |
| Performance Review Board (PRB) Recommendation | ☐ Level 5 | ☐ Level 4 | ☐ Level 3 | ☐ Level 2 | ☐ Level 1 | |
| PRB Chair Name: | PRB Chair Si | PRB Chair Signature: Dat | | | Date: | |
| Rating of Record | ☐ Level 5 | ☐ Level 4 | ☐ Level 3 | ☐ Level 2 | ☐ Level 1 | |
| Approving Official's Name: | Approving Of | fficial's Signatu | re: | Date: | | |

Part 4. Performance Standards for Critical Elements. Performance toward Critical Elements 1-4 (Faithful Support of Administration of the Law and the President's Policies, Government Efficiency, Merit and Competence, and Achieving Organizational Goals) shall be appraised using the performance standards specified below. Within Critical Element 5 (Achieving Organizational Goals), if the performance objective is a competency, it is rated using the performance standards described below. If the performance objective is a result, commitment, or activity, it must include quality indicators that reflect the same level of performance as the Level 3 performance standard below. Examples for the top three performance levels can be found in the program description.

- Level 5: The Senior Professional demonstrates exceptional performance, directly contributes toward sustaining organizational excellence, and enhances the ability to achieve results in the Senior Professional's organization, agency, department or Governmentwide. This represents the highest level of Senior Professional performance.
- Level 4: The Senior Professional demonstrates a very high level of performance beyond that required for successful performance in the Senior Professional's position and scope of responsibilities. The Senior Professional consistently exceeds established performance expectations, timelines, or targets.
- Level 3: The Senior Professional demonstrates the high level of performance expected of Senior Professionals. The Senior Professional's actions contribute positively toward the achievement of project/program goals and meaningful results. The Senior Professional is effective, dependable and delivers high-quality project/program results.
- Level 2: The Senior Professional's performance is unsatisfactory and needs improvement. While the Senior Professional generally meets established performance expectations, timelines and targets, there are occasional lapses that impair operations and/or cause concern from management.
- Level 1: In repeated instances, the Senior Professional demonstrates performance deficiencies that detract from project/program goals and objectives. The Senior Professional routinely does not meet established performance expectations/timelines/targets and fails to produce or produces unacceptable work products, services, or outcomes.

| Part 5. Derivation Formula and Calcul | ation of tl | ne Rating of R | ecord | | | | |
|---|-------------|--------------------|------------|---------|--------------------|---|--|
| Element Rating | | nt Rating | | Score | | Dating of Dagard | |
| Critical Element | Initial | Final (if changed) | Weight | Initial | Final (if changed) | Rating of Record Ranges | |
| 1. Faithful Support of Administration of the Law and the President's Policies | | | | | | 475-500 = Level 5 | |
| 2. Government Efficiency | | | | | | 400-474 = Level 4 300-399 = Level 3 200-299 = Level 2 | |
| 3. Merit and Competence | | | | | | | |
| 4. Partnership/Leadership/Mentorship | | | | | | 200 255 261612 | |
| 5. Achieving Organizational Goals | | | | | | Any CE rated Level | |
| Total | | | 100 points | | | 1 = Level 1 | |

| Part 6. Critical Elements. Each Se | enior Professional | performance p | lan shall include (| Critical Elements I | 7-5. |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| Critical Element 1: Faithful Suppo (Minimum weight 25) | ort of Administra | ntion of the La | w and President | 's Policies | Weight: |
| This is the most critical element for Faithful administration of one's role including equality under the law and support implementation of the President's specific policy agenda. | in the Executive democratic self- | Branch requires government. Al | s commitment to the Senior Profession | the principles of the onals must clearly a | e Founding, and demonstrably |
| Agency Specific Requirement(s) | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Rating Official Narrative (Optional | <i>l</i>): | | | | |
| Critical Element 1 Rating | Level 5 | Level 4 | ☐ Level 3 | ☐ Level 2 | ☐ Level 1 |
| | | | | | |
| Critical Element 2: Government E (Minimum weight 15) | fficiency | | | | Weight: |
| Senior Professionals are expected to productivity, and quality of work an | | | | | |
| Agency Specific Requirement(s) | | | | | |
| Rating Official Narrative (Optional | <i>l</i>): | | | | |
| Critical Element 2 Rating | ☐ Level 5 | ☐ Level | 4 Level 3 | B Level 2 | ☐ Level 1 |

Appraisal Period:

| Minimum weight 15) Weight: | | | | | Weight: |
|--|---|--------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Senior Professionals must consistently demonstrate outstanding proficiency and competence in the performance of their job duties. Such qualities will be evidenced by specific, demonstrable achievements and results that provide concrete benefits to American citizens. Senior Professionals must consistently produce work that is of the highest quality; handle challenges; exceed targets; and complete assignments in a timely manner. In consultation with the Senior Professional, the Rating Official must identify at least one competency from the list in | | | | | |
| Attachment 1 against which to evaluate | | - | | | |
| Competency (Mandatory) | | | | | |
| Competency (Optional) | | | | | |
| Rating Official Narrative (Optional): | | | | | |
| Critical Element 3 Rating | ☐ Level 5 | ☐ Level 4 | Level 3 | ☐ Level 2 | ☐ Level 1 |
| Critical Element 5 Rating | Level 3 | - Bever i | Level 3 | Level 2 | _ 20.01.1 |
| | | | Level 5 | Level 2 | _ 25 / 41 1 |
| Critical Element 4: Partnership/Leade (Minimum weight 15) | | | Level 3 | | Weight: |
| Critical Element 4: Partnership/Leade | rship/Mentorship sted advisors, partu onal, the Rating Oj | ners, leaders, and | I mentors at thei | r agencies. | Weight: |
| Critical Element 4: Partnership/Leade (Minimum weight 15) Senior Professionals should serve as trus In consultation with the Senior Profession | rship/Mentorship sted advisors, partu onal, the Rating Oj | ners, leaders, and | I mentors at thei | r agencies. | Weight: |
| Critical Element 4: Partnership/Leade (Minimum weight 15) Senior Professionals should serve as trus In consultation with the Senior Profession Attachment 2 against which to evaluate | rship/Mentorship sted advisors, partu onal, the Rating Oj | ners, leaders, and | I mentors at thei | r agencies. | Weight: |
| Critical Element 4: Partnership/Leade (Minimum weight 15) Senior Professionals should serve as trus In consultation with the Senior Professio Attachment 2 against which to evaluate Competency (Mandatory) | rship/Mentorship sted advisors, partu onal, the Rating Oj | ners, leaders, and | I mentors at thei | r agencies. | Weight: |

Appraisal Period:

| Critical Element 5: Ach (Minimum weight 15) | ieving Orga | nizational Goals | | | V | Veight: |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| The Senior Professional period. In addition, at lea consultation with the Sen focus on measurable targed President's Management other organizational plan and policy objectives. | ast three performior Profession gets, outputs as Agenda, Ag | ormance objective or all that are tailor and outcomes aligency Strategic Plants | es must be establi red for the Senior gned to specific go an, Congressional | shed for this critical Professional's specials and objectives Budget Justification | al element by the cific function are set forth for the con/Annual Performance. | e Rating Official in ad role. They should agency in the ormance Plan, and |
| Agency/Program Goal | Position Spo | ecific Performan | ce Objective 1 (N | Mandatory): | | |
| Alignment: | | | | | | |
| Agency/Program Goal Alignment: | Position Spo | ecific Performan | ce Objective 2 (M | Aandatory): | | |
| Agency/Program Goal Alignment: | Position Spo | ecific Performan | ce Objective 3 (N | Mandatory): | | |
| Agency/Program Goal Alignment: | Position Spo | ecific Performan | ce Objective 4: | | | |
| Rating Official Narrativ | e (Optional): | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Critical Element 5 Ratir | ισ | □ Level 5 | □ Level 4 | □ Level 3 | □ Level 2 | □ Level 1 |

| Part 7: Senior Professional's Accomplishment Narrative (Optional) |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Part 8: Rating Official's Recommended Rating of Record Narrative (Mandatory) |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Part 9: Reviewing Official's Recommended Rating of Record Narrative (Optional) |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Part 10. Higher-Level Review Narrative (Optional) |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Part 11: Performance Review Board (PRB) Narrative (Optional) |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Part 12: Approving Official Narrative (Optional) |
| |
| |
| |
| Part 13: Agency Use (Optional) |
| Tare 13. Agency Ose (Optional) |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

Senior-Level (SL) and Scientific or Professional (ST) Appraisal Program Performance Plan Addendum for Progress Reviews Appraisal Period: [Insert Appraisal Period Beginning and Ending Dates]

Multiple Progress Reviews. Agencies <u>may</u> use this addendum to the SL/ST performance plan to document formal progress reviews during the appraisal period.

| Q1 Progress Review. I have par | rticipated in a conversation regarding progre | ss toward meeting the critical elem | ient. |
|----------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--------|
| Senior Professional's Signature: | | Date: | |
| Rating Official's Name: | Rating Official's Signature: | Date: | |
| Rating Official's Progress Revi | ew Narrative (Mandatory) | | |
| Q2 Progress Review. I have par | rticipated in a conversation regarding progre | ss toward meeting the critical elem | ients. |
| Senior Professional's Signature: | | Date: | |
| Rating Official's Name: | Rating Official's Signature: | Date: | |
| | rticipated in a conversation regarding progre | | ients. |
| Senior Professional's Signature: | | Date: | |
| Rating Official's Name: | Rating Official's Signature: | Date: | |
| Rating Official's Progress Revi | ew Narrative (Mandatory) | | |
| Q4 Progress Review. I have par | rticipated in a conversation regarding progre | ss toward meeting the critical elem | ient. |
| Senior Professional's Signature: | | Date: | |
| Rating Official's Name: | Rating Official's Signature: | Date: | |
| Rating Official's Progress Revi | ew Narrative (Mandatory) | | |

Attachment 1: Criteria for Evaluation of Senior Professional Merit and Competence

In consultation with the Senior Professional, the Rating Official must review and select the competencies below that contribute to the Senior Professional's performance toward work assignments or responsibilities and will serve as a basis to evaluate the Senior Professional's merit and competence. Decision Making – Makes sound, well-informed, and objective decisions; perceives the impact and implications of decisions; commits to appropriate action, even in uncertain situations, to accomplish work assignments and applicable organizational goals. ☐ Financial Management – Understands the organization's financial processes. Prepares, justifies, and administers the project/program budget. Oversees procurement and contracting to drive government efficiency and advance the mission. Monitors expenditures and uses cost-benefit thinking to set priorities. ☐ Information Management – Identifies a need for and knows where or how to gather information; organizes and maintains information on information management systems; retrieves and applies information appropriately in various situations. Legal, Government and Jurisprudence – Knowledge of applicable laws, legal codes, court procedures, precedents, legal practices or documents, government regulations, executive orders, agency rules, government organization or functions, and/or the democratic political process as they apply to area of responsibility. ☐ Planning and Evaluating – Organizes work, sets priorities, and determines resource requirements; determines short- or long-term goals and strategies to achieve them; coordinates with other organizations or parts of the organization to accomplish goals; monitors progress and evaluates outcomes. Problem Solving – Identifies problems; determines accuracy and relevance of information; uses sound judgment to generate and evaluate alternatives, and to make recommendations. Project Management – Applies principles, methods, or tools for developing, scheduling, coordinating, monitoring, evaluating, and managing projects and resources, including technical performance. Reasoning – Identifies rules, principles, or relationships that explain facts, data, or other information; analyzes information and makes correct inferences or draws accurate conclusions. Research – Applies knowledge of the scientific principles, methods, and processes used to conduct a systematic and objective inquiry; including study design, collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; and the reporting of results. ☐ Technical Competence/Subject Matter Expertise – Uses knowledge that is acquired through formal training or extensive on-the-job experience to perform one's job; works with, understands, and evaluates technical

information related to the job; advises others on technical issues.

Attachment 2: Criteria for Evaluation of Senior Professional Partnership/Leadership/Mentorship

In consultation with the Senior Professional, the Rating Official must review and select the competencies below that contribute to the Senior Professional's performance toward work assignments or responsibilities and will serve as a basis to evaluate the Senior Professional's Partnership/Leadership/Mentorship.

| ☐ Collaboration/Partnership – Encourages and facilitates cooperation and trust; fosters commitment; works with others to achieve goals. Engages with agency leaders, customers, and stakeholders to seek input (assess their needs, obtain information), resolve their problems, or satisfy their expectations. |
|---|
| ☐ Leadership – Influences, motivates, and challenges others; adapts leadership styles to a variety of situations. Accepts leadership roles as appropriate. Conducts oneself in a manner that sets a positive example. |
| ☐ Mentorship – Provides guidance, direction, and career advice through mentoring— either a standalone program, part of a training and development program within an organization, or individually. |